

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
WESTERN DIVISION  
5:07-HC-2049-H

FILED  
in open court  
NOV 16 2011

DENNIS P. IAVARONE, CLERK  
US DISTRICT COURT, EDNC  
BY  DEP CLK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )  
Petitioner, )  
v. )  
SEYMORE BILAGODY, )  
Respondent. )

**MEMORANDUM AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

This case comes before the court on Petitioner's Motion for Voluntary Dismissal With Prejudice With Leave of Court (D.E. 67), and the Settlement Agreement (D.E. 67-2) entered into between the parties. Pursuant to the referral of the presiding District Judge (*see* Minute Entry after D.E. 67) and with the written consent of the parties filed contemporaneously herewith, the undersigned conducted a hearing today to determine whether the Settlement Agreement was knowingly and voluntarily entered into. Respondent was present, along with his counsel and counsel for the government. At the hearing, the court confirmed through direct questioning of respondent under oath and, where appropriate questioning of his counsel, the following matters, among others: respondent's competence to proceed with the hearing; respondent's satisfaction with the services his current counsel has provided him generally and with respect to the Settlement Agreement in particular; respondent's review of the Settlement Agreement with his counsel; respondent's understanding of and agreement to the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement, which the court reviewed with respondent paragraph by paragraph; the absence of any promise or assurance to respondent to induce him to enter into the Settlement Agreement not stated in the Settlement Agreement; and the absence of any attempt to force respondent to enter into the Settlement Agreement;

Agreement. The court also made appropriate inquiry of counsel for the government regarding the voluntariness of the Settlement Agreement and related matters.

Based on the proceedings at the hearing, the court FINDS that respondent was fully competent to enter into the Settlement Agreement and that he knowingly and voluntarily did so after having an adequate opportunity to confer with counsel; and that the government also knowingly and voluntarily entered into the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that the court:

1. allow the government's motion;
2. dismiss this case with prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2), in accordance with the Settlement Agreement;
3. order that the stay of respondent's release from Bureau of Prisons custody be lifted and that respondent be released from Bureau of Prisons custody forthwith; and
4. order that respondent report to the United States Probation Office for the District of Arizona within 72 hours of his release in order to comply with the judgment entered by that court in the case of *United States v. Seymore Bilagody*, Docket No. 3:00-CR-01199-001-PCT-PGR, on 19 December 2001 (D.E. 36) and the order modifying the terms of his supervised release, entered on 1 November 2011 (D.E. 37).

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk shall send copies of this Memorandum and Recommendation to counsel for the respective parties, who have until 17 November 2011 to file written objections. Failure to file timely written objections bars an aggrieved party from receiving a de novo review by the District Judge on an issue covered in the Memorandum and Recommendation and, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and

legal conclusions accepted by the District Judge. Any response to objections is due no later than 18 November 2011.

This, the 16th day of November 2011.



James E. Gates  
United States Magistrate Judge